

## COMMENTS RESULTS

Q9 – Mix of new properties in Welford	<p>3 Votes for Old folks homes</p> <p>2 Votes for Park Homes</p> <p>1 Vote for 2 bed bungalow</p> <p>1 votes attached bungalows</p> <p>2 votes for no new properties - irrelevant as survey says development must happen</p>
Q13 – Importance of building affordable housing	<p>Comments not invited but we should clarify what affordable housing currently exists and its occupancy risks.</p> <p>The view we should have none is irrelevant as it goes against NPPF</p>
Q16 – Areas of focus for Parish council which may or may not be fundable	<p>2 votes for new village hall alongside new sports facility</p> <p>1 vote for village hall in the area targeted for development by Banner Homes</p> <p>4 votes to refurbish Memorial Hall</p> <p>2 votes for doctors / pharmacy</p> <p>2 votes for post office</p> <p>10 votes on disparate range of traffic issues including restricting parking, pedestrian walkway on Binton Bridge and speed humps at top end of village</p>
Q17 – Funding by /contribution from resident	<p>5 for Commuity pub</p> <p>4 for post office</p> <p>22 for new sports on WE18, plus 3 more for a new location</p> <p>5 to stay at Synder meadow for sports plus 6 others with no location preference</p> <p>14 for news mains gas</p> <p>5 votes for village hall (new or refurbished)</p> <p>6 votes for flood defences</p> <p>4 votes for street lighting</p> <p>3 votes for broadband</p> <p>11 votes fgor conservation (area and maintenance activities)</p> <p>6 votes for speed control / cameras</p> <p>2 for defibrillators</p> <p>2 for community land purchase</p> <p>Estimate new sports facility could be built with £500K / 25 = £20,000 funding each!</p> <p>There is no broad support for a new sports facility and indeed it divides the village.</p>
Q19 – What do you consider sustainable development	<p>25 Comments on Small developments of which most are broadly supportive.</p> <p>3 want a post office, almost by default this confirm it is unsustainable, would need 100+ to suggest viability</p> <p>2 votes against sports club on WE18</p> <p>22 comments on business support showing a recognition some business resource could be viable - possibly tie up with other services?</p> <p>There are some blanket anti-business views but non-specific suggesting they are against industrial units etc</p> <p>15 + comments on infrastrucuture with drainage/sewage (3) and public transport(3) being of the highest interest</p> <p>OAPs want bungalows/single storey development</p> <p>Some comments on the Ecological credentials of new builds , including Solar panels and Code 4 assessment</p> <p>Some comments on maintaining the character of the village</p>
Q20 – Environmental activities for Welford adoption	<p>4 against garden infilling</p> <p>15 for flood control /protection and drainage including comments encouraging river dredging</p> <p>11 for maintaining walks and environment by the Avon</p> <p>33 for broader maintainance of the rural environment / green space</p> <p>13 support green initiatives of different types including solar, wind and water. Wind is not considered viable here due to our low average windspeed.</p> <p>6 in favour of sports facility enhancement</p> <p>5 comments on traffic management including increasing the greenway use</p>
Q21 – Park homes	Inconclusive on Park Homes and Caravans as both supported and have detractors
Q22 – Sustainable	185 Keep Flood Plain and go wildlife, walks etc

<p>use of the flood plain</p>	<p>16 Possible business use but 2 of these don't know there is existing marina/boatyard            9 Refer to flood defences            1 Anaerobic digester            1 structures on stilts</p> <p><b>Additional bridge is the only truly creative suggestion</b></p>
<p>Q23 – Additional items raised</p>	<p>1 vote for and 1 against gravel extraction            13 highlight broad traffic management issues            2 Four Alls field needs visual improvement            45 comments on Housing Development, significant against large properties but then broad mix of views            26 comments on the sports club with strong opinions pro and against moving from Synder Meadow to WE18            3 comments on no need for a new village hall which is already underutilised            6 comments on flooding and better access to the river for the public            5 comments want better protection of the conservation area            9 comments on the NP process / survey including one describing it as invalid</p> <p><b>Former Welford railway station is obviously brownfield and suitable for the development of small business units, subject to the preservation of the fine Victorian railway buildings</b></p>